Cite as: K. K. Chan *et al.*, *Science*
10.1126/science.abc0870 (2020).

Engineering human ACE2 to optimize binding to the spike protein of SARS coronavirus 2

Kui K. Chan¹, Danielle Dorosky², Preeti Sharma³, Shawn A. Abbasi², John M. Dye², David M. Kranz³, Andrew S. Herbert^{2,4}, Erik Procko^{3*}

¹Orthogonal Biologics, Champaign, IL 61821, USA. ²U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Frederick, MD 21702, USA. ³Department of Biochemistry and Cancer Center at Illinois, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. ⁴The Geneva Foundation, Tacoma, WA 98402, USA.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: procko@illinois.edu

The spike protein S of SARS coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) binds ACE2 on host cells to initiate entry, and soluble ACE2 is a therapeutic candidate that neutralizes infection by acting as a decoy. Using deep mutagenesis, mutations in ACE2 that increase S binding are found across the interaction surface, in the N90-glycosylation motif and at buried sites. The mutational landscape provides a blueprint for understanding the specificity of the interaction between ACE2 and S and for engineering high affinity decoy receptors. Combining mutations gives ACE2 variants with affinities that rival monoclonal antibodies. A stable dimeric variant shows potent SARS-CoV-2 and -1 neutralization in vitro. The engineered receptor is catalytically active and its close similarity with the native receptor may limit the potential for viral escape.

In late 2019, a novel zoonotic betacoronavirus closely related to bat coronaviruses crossed into humans in the Chinese city of Wuhan (1, 2). The virus, called SARS-CoV-2 due to its similarities with the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus first discovered in 2003 (3, 4), causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (5) which is causing devastation across the globe.

The S spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 binds angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) on host cells (2, 6–11). S is a trimeric class I viral fusion protein that is proteolytically processed into S1 and S2 subunits that remain noncovalently associated in a prefusion state (6, 9, 12). Upon engagement of ACE2 by a receptor binding domain (RBD) in S1 (13), conformational rearrangements occur that cause S1 shedding, cleavage of S2 by host proteases, and exposure of a fusion peptide adjacent to the S2' proteolysis site (12, 14–16). Folding of S to a post-fusion conformation is coupled to host cell/virus membrane fusion and cytosolic release of viral RNA. Atomic contacts with the RBD are restricted to the extracellular protease domain of ACE2 (17, 18). Soluble ACE2 (sACE2) in which the transmembrane domain has been removed is sufficient for binding S and neutralizing infection (10, 19–21). A broad collection of highly potent neutralizing antibodies have been isolated (22–28), yet the virus spike shows rapid accumulation of escape mutations when under selection (29). By comparison, the virus may have limited potential to escape sACE2-mediated neutralization without simultaneously decreasing affinity for native ACE2 receptors, an outcome that is likely to attenuate virulence. Furthermore, sACE2 could potentially treat COVID-19 symptoms by proteolytic conversion of angiotensin peptides that regulate blood pressure and

volume (30, 31). Recombinant sACE2 is safe in healthy human subjects (32) and patients with lung disease (33), and is being evaluated in a European phase II clinical trial for COVID-19 managed by Apeiron Biologics. Peptide derivatives of ACE2 are also being explored as cell entry inhibitors (34).

Since human ACE2 has not evolved to recognize SARS-CoV-2 S, we hypothesized that mutations may be found that increase affinity. The coding sequence of full length ACE2 with an N-terminal c-myc epitope tag was diversified to create a library containing all possible single amino acid substitutions at 117 sites that span the interface with S and the angiotensin peptide-binding cavity. S binding is independent of ACE2 catalytic activity (35) and occurs on the outer surface of ACE2 (17, 18), whereas angiotensin substrates bind within a deep cleft that houses the active site (36).

The ACE2 library was transiently expressed in human Expi293F cells under conditions that typically yield no more than one coding variant per cell, providing a tight link between genotype and phenotype (37, 38). Cells were then incubated with a subsaturating dilution of medium containing the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 fused to superfolder GFP (sfGFP: (39)) (fig. S1A). Dual color flow cytometry measurements show that levels of bound RBD-sfGFP correlate with surface expression levels of myc-tagged ACE2. Compared to cells expressing wild type ACE2 (fig. S1C), many variants in the ACE2 library fail to bind RBD, while a smaller number of ACE2 variants showed higher binding signals (fig. S1D). Populations of cells that express ACE2 variants at the cell surface with high (“nCoV-S-High”) or low (“nCoV-S-Low”) binding to RBD were collected by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) (fig. S1D). During FACS, the fluorescence signal for bound RBD-

sfGFP continuously declined, requiring the collection gates to be regularly updated to 'chase' the relevant populations. This is consistent with RBD dissociating during the experiment.

In an approach known as deep mutagenesis (40), the enrichment or depletion of all 2,340 coding mutations in the library was determined by comparing the frequencies of transcripts in the sorted populations to sequence frequencies in the naive plasmid library (Fig. 1A). Enrichment ratios and residue conservation scores closely agree between two independent FACS experiments (fig. S2). Enrichment ratios and conservation scores in the nCoV-S-High sorts tend to be negatively correlated with the nCoV-S-Low sorts, with the exception of nonsense mutations that do not express and were therefore depleted from both populations (fig. S2). Most, but not all, nonsynonymous mutations in ACE2 did not eliminate surface expression (fig. S2). The library is biased toward solvent-exposed residues and has few substitutions of buried hydrophobics that might have greater effects on plasma membrane trafficking (38).

Mapping the experimental conservation scores from the nCoV-S-High sorts to the structure of RBD-bound ACE2 (17) shows that residues buried in the interface tend to be conserved, whereas residues at the interface periphery or in the substrate-binding cleft are mutationally tolerant (Fig. 1B and 1C). The region of ACE2 surrounding the C-terminal end of the ACE2 α 1 helix and β 3- β 4 strands has a weak tolerance for polar residues, while amino acids at the N-terminal end of α 1 and the C-terminal end of α 2 are preferentially hydrophobic (Fig. 1D), likely in part to preserve hydrophobic packing between α 1- α 2. These discrete patches contact the globular RBD fold and a long protruding loop of the RBD, respectively.

Two ACE2 residues, N90 and T92 that together form a consensus N-glycosylation motif, are notable hot spots for enriched mutations (blue in Fig. 1A). Indeed, all substitutions of N90 and T92, with the exception of T92S which maintains the N-glycan, are highly favorable for RBD binding, and the N90-glycan is thus predicted to partially hinder the S/ACE2 interaction. These results may depend on the chemical nature of glycan moieties attached in different cell types.

Mining the data identifies many ACE2 mutations that are enriched for RBD binding. It has been proposed that natural ACE2 polymorphisms are relevant to COVID-19 pathogenesis and transmission (41, 42) and the mutational landscape provided here will facilitate analyses to test this. At least a dozen ACE2 mutations at the interface enhance RBD binding, and the molecular basis for affinity enhancement can be rationalized from the RBD-bound ACE2 cryo-EM structure (Fig. 1E) (17): hydrophobic substitutions of ACE2-T27 increase hydrophobic packing with aromatic residues of S, ACE2-D30E extends an acidic side chain to reach S-K417, and aromatic substitutions of ACE2-K31 contribute to an interfacial cluster of aromatics. A search for affinity-enhancing mutations in

ACE2 using targeted mutagenesis recently identified D30E (43), providing independent confirmation of this result.

There are also enriched mutations in the second shell and farther from the interface that do not directly contact S but instead have putative structural roles. For example, proline substitutions were enriched at five library positions (S19, L91, T92, T324 and Q325) where they might entropically stabilize the first turns of helices. Proline was also enriched at H34 where it may enforce the central bulge in α 1, and multiple mutations were enriched at buried positions where they will change local packing (e.g., A25V, L29F, W69V, F72Y and L351F). The selection of ACE2 variants for high binding signal therefore not only reports on affinity, but also on presentation at the membrane of folded structure recognized by SARS-CoV-2 S. Whether these mutations selectively stabilize a virus-recognized local structure in ACE2 versus the global protein fold is unclear.

Thirty single amino acid substitutions highly enriched in the nCoV-S-High sort were validated by targeted mutagenesis (fig. S3). Binding of RBD-sfGFP to full length ACE2 mutants measured by dual color flow cytometry (fig. S3) increased compared to wild type, yet improvements were small and most apparent on cells expressing low ACE2 levels. Differences in ACE2 expression between the mutants also correlated with total levels of bound RBD-sfGFP (fig. S3C), demonstrating the need for caution in interpreting deep mutational scan data as mutations may impact both activity and expression. To rapidly assess mutations in a soluble format, the ACE2 protease domain was fused to sfGFP. Expression levels of sACE2-sfGFP were evaluated qualitatively by fluorescence (fig. S4A) and binding to full length S expressed at the plasma membrane was measured by flow cytometry (fig. S4B). A single substitution (T92Q) in the N90 glycosylation motif gave a modest increase in binding signal, which was confirmed by analysis of purified protein (fig. S5). Focusing on the most highly enriched substitutions in the nCoV-S-High sorts that were also spatially segregated to minimize negative epistasis (44), combinations of mutations were expressed and these gave sACE2 large increases in S binding (see Materials and Methods, table S1 and fig. S4B). Unexplored combinations of mutations may have even greater effects.

A single variant, sACE2.v2, was chosen for purification and further characterization (fig. S6). This variant was selected because it was well expressed as a sfGFP fusion and it maintains the N90-glycan, thus presenting a surface that more closely matches native sACE2 to minimize immunogenicity. The yield of sACE2.v2 was lower than the wild type protein and by analytical size exclusion chromatography (SEC) a small fraction of sACE2.v2 was found to aggregate after incubation at 37°C (fig. S6D). Otherwise, sACE2.v2 was indistinguishable from wild type by SEC (fig. S6C).

In flow cytometry experiments using the purified 8his-

tagged protease domain, sACE2.v2-8h, but not wild type, was found to bind strongly to full length S at the cell surface, suggestive that wild type sACE2 has a faster off-rate that causes dissociation during sample washing (Fig. 2A and fig. S7). Differences between wild type and the variant were less pronounced in the context of an IgG1-Fc fusion (Fig. 2A and fig. S7), indicating that avidity masks gains in binding of the mutant, again consistent with off-rate differences between wild type and variant sACE2. Soluble ACE2.v2-8h outcompetes wild type sACE2-IgG1 for binding to S-expressing cells, yet wild type sACE2-8h does not outcompete sACE2-IgG1 even at 10-fold higher concentrations (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, only engineered sACE2.v2-8h effectively competed with anti-RBD IgG in serum from three COVID-19-positive patients when tested by ELISA (Fig. 2C). The observation that up to 80% inhibition was achieved at saturation with sACE2.v2-8h indicates that the majority of anti-RBD IgG were directed at the receptor binding site. Finally, biolayer interferometry (BLI) showed that sACE2.v2 has 65-fold higher affinity than the wild type protein for immobilized RBD, almost entirely due to a slower off-rate (table S2 and fig. S6E and S6F).

To address the decreased expression of sACE2.v2, it was hypothesized that the mutational load is too high. In second-generation designs, each of the four mutations in sACE2.v2 was reverted back to the wild type identity (table S1) and binding to full length S at the cell surface remained high (fig. S8A). One of the variants (sACE2.v2.4 with mutations T27Y, L79T and N330Y) was purified with even higher yields than wild type and displayed tight nanomolar binding to the RBD (fig. S8).

The ACE2 construct was lengthened to include the neck/dimerization domain, yielding a stable dimer (Fig. 3A) referred to here as sACE2₂, which binds with high avidity to S on the cell surface or immobilized RBD on a biosensor (fig. S9). Compared to the wild type, dimeric sACE2₂.v2.4 competes more effectively with IgG present in serum from COVID-19 patients (Fig. 3B). The engineered dimer may be useful in assessing serum or plasma (e.g., for convalescent plasma therapies) for levels of the most effective SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies (45). By immobilizing sACE2₂-IgG1 (fig. S10) to a biosensor surface and incubating with monomeric RBD-8h as the analyte, the K_D of RBD for wild type sACE2₂ was determined to be 22 nM (Fig. 3C) in close agreement to previous reports (8, 46), whereas sACE2₂.v2.4 bound with 600 pM affinity (Fig. 3D). This compares favorably with recently isolated monoclonal antibodies (22–28).

The efficacy of monomeric sACE2.v2.4 to neutralize SARS-CoV-2 infection of cultured VeroE6 cells exceeded the wild type protein by nearly two orders of magnitude (Fig. 4), consistent with the biochemical binding data. Wild type, dimeric sACE2₂ is itself two orders of magnitude more potent than monomer, indicating strong avid interactions with spike on

the virion surface, and dimeric sACE2₂.v2.4 is yet again more potent with a subnanomolar IC_{50} (Fig. 4). Dimeric sACE2₂.v2.4 also potently neutralizes SARS-CoV-1, despite no consideration of SARS-CoV-1 S structure or sequence during the engineering process, and it is possible that the decoy receptor will neutralize diverse ACE2-utilizing coronaviruses that have yet to cross over to humans.

To improve safety, untagged sACE2₂.v2.4 was manufactured in ExpiCHO-S cells (fig S11A) and found to be stable after incubation at 37°C for 6 days (fig S11B). The protein competes with wild type sACE2₂-IgG1 for cell-expressed S (fig S11C) and binds with tight avidity to immobilized RBD (fig S11D). In addition to inhibiting virus entry, recombinant sACE2 may have a second therapeutic mechanism: proteolysis of angiotensin II (a vasoconstrictive peptide hormone) to relieve symptoms of respiratory distress (30, 31). Soluble ACE2.v2.4 is found to be catalytically active, albeit with reduced activity (fig. S12). Whether this confers any therapeutic advantage or disadvantage over wild type sACE2 remains to be seen.

With astonishing speed, the scientific community has identified multiple candidates for the treatment of COVID-19, especially monoclonal antibodies with exceptional affinity for protein S. Our work shows how comparable affinity can be engineered into the natural receptor for the virus, while also providing insights into the molecular basis for initial virus-host interactions.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. N. Zhu, D. Zhang, W. Wang, X. Li, B. Yang, J. Song, X. Zhao, B. Huang, W. Shi, R. Lu, P. Niu, F. Zhan, X. Ma, D. Wang, W. Xu, G. Wu, G. F. Gao, W. Tan; China Novel Coronavirus Investigating and Research Team, A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **382**, 727–733 (2020). [doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001017](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001017) [Medline](#)
2. P. Zhou, X.-L. Yang, X.-G. Wang, B. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H.-R. Si, Y. Zhu, B. Li, C.-L. Huang, H.-D. Chen, J. Chen, Y. Luo, H. Guo, R.-D. Jiang, M.-Q. Liu, Y. Chen, X.-R. Shen, X. Wang, X.-S. Zheng, K. Zhao, Q.-J. Chen, F. Deng, L.-L. Liu, B. Yan, F.-X. Zhan, Y.-Y. Wang, G.-F. Xiao, Z.-L. Shi, A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* **579**, 270–273 (2020). [doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7) [Medline](#)
3. J. S. M. Peiris, S. T. Lai, L. L. M. Poon, Y. Guan, L. Y. C. Yam, W. Lim, J. Nicholls, W. K. S. Yee, W. W. Yan, M. T. Cheung, V. C. C. Cheng, K. H. Chan, D. N. C. Tsang, R. W. H. Yung, T. K. Ng, K. Y. Yuen; SARS study group, Coronavirus as a possible cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Lancet* **361**, 1319–1325 (2003). [doi:10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)13077-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13077-2) [Medline](#)
4. Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: Classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 3 (2020).
5. C. Huang, Y. Wang, X. Li, L. Ren, J. Zhao, Y. Hu, L. Zhang, G. Fan, J. Xu, X. Gu, Z. Cheng, T. Yu, J. Xia, Y. Wei, W. Wu, X. Xie, W. Yin, H. Li, M. Liu, Y. Xiao, H. Gao, L. Guo, J. Xie, G. Wang, R. Jiang, Z. Gao, Q. Jin, J. Wang, B. Cao, Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* **395**, 497–506 (2020). [doi:10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5) [Medline](#)
6. A. C. Walls, Y.-J. Park, M. A. Tortorici, A. Wall, A. T. McGuire, D. Veasler, Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. *Cell* **181**, 281–292.e6 (2020). [doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058) [Medline](#)
7. Y. Wan, J. Shang, R. Graham, R. S. Baric, F. Li, Receptor recognition by the novel coronavirus from Wuhan: An analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS coronavirus. *J. Virol.* **94**, e00127-20 (2020). [doi:10.1128/JVI.00127-20](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00127-20)

[Medline](#)

8. D. Wrapp, N. Wang, K. S. Corbett, J. A. Goldsmith, C.-L. Hsieh, O. Abiona, B. S. Graham, J. S. McLellan, Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. *Science* **367**, 1260–1263 (2020). [doi:10.1126/science.abb2507](#) [Medline](#)
9. M. Hoffmann, H. Kleine-Weber, S. Schroeder, N. Krüger, T. Herrler, S. Erichsen, T. S. Schiergens, G. Herrler, N.-H. Wu, A. Nitsche, M. A. Müller, C. Drosten, S. Pöhlmann, SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell* **181**, 271–280.e8 (2020). [doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052](#) [Medline](#)
10. W. Li, M. J. Moore, N. Vasileva, J. Sui, S. K. Wong, M. A. Berne, M. Somasundaran, J. L. Sullivan, K. Luzuriaga, T. C. Greenough, H. Choe, M. Farzan, Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. *Nature* **426**, 450–454 (2003). [doi:10.1038/nature02145](#) [Medline](#)
11. M. Letko, A. Marzi, V. Munster, Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineage B betacoronaviruses. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**, 562–569 (2020). [doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0688-y](#) [Medline](#)
12. M. A. Tortorici, D. Veasler, Structural insights into coronavirus entry. *Adv. Virus Res.* **105**, 93–116 (2019). [doi:10.1016/bs.aivir.2019.08.002](#) [Medline](#)
13. S. K. Wong, W. Li, M. J. Moore, H. Choe, M. Farzan, A 193-amino acid fragment of the SARS coronavirus S protein efficiently binds angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 3197–3201 (2004). [doi:10.1074/jbc.C300520200](#) [Medline](#)
14. I. G. Madu, S. L. Roth, S. Belouzard, G. R. Whittaker, Characterization of a highly conserved domain within the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein S2 domain with characteristics of a viral fusion peptide. *J. Virol.* **83**, 7411–7421 (2009). [doi:10.1128/JVI.00079-09](#) [Medline](#)
15. A. C. Walls, M. A. Tortorici, J. Snijder, X. Xiong, B.-J. Bosch, F. A. Rey, D. Veasler, Tectonic conformational changes of a coronavirus spike glycoprotein promote membrane fusion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, 11157–11162 (2017). [doi:10.1073/pnas.1708727114](#) [Medline](#)
16. J. K. Millet, G. R. Whittaker, Host cell entry of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus after two-step, furin-mediated activation of the spike protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 15214–15219 (2014). [doi:10.1073/pnas.1407087111](#) [Medline](#)
17. R. Yan, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, L. Xia, Y. Guo, Q. Zhou, Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science* **367**, 1444–1448 (2020). [doi:10.1126/science.abb2762](#) [Medline](#)
18. F. Li, W. Li, M. Farzan, S. C. Harrison, Structure of SARS coronavirus spike receptor-binding domain complexed with receptor. *Science* **309**, 1864–1868 (2005). [doi:10.1126/science.1116480](#) [Medline](#)
19. H. Hofmann, M. Geier, A. Marzi, M. Krumbiegel, M. Peipp, G. H. Fey, T. Gramberg, S. Pöhlmann, Susceptibility to SARS coronavirus S protein-driven infection correlates with expression of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 and infection can be blocked by soluble receptor. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **319**, 1216–1221 (2004). [doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.05.114](#) [Medline](#)
20. C. Lei, K. Qian, T. Li, S. Zhang, W. Fu, M. Ding, S. Hu, Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 spike pseudotyped virus by recombinant ACE2-Ig. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2070 (2020). [doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16048-4](#) [Medline](#)
21. V. Montell, H. Kwon, P. Prado, A. Hagelkrüys, R. A. Wimmer, M. Stahl, A. Leopoldi, E. Garreta, C. Hurtado Del Pozo, F. Prosper, J. P. Romero, G. Wirnsberger, H. Zhang, A. S. Slutsky, R. Conder, N. Montserrat, A. Mirazimi, J. M. Penninger, Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Engineered Human Tissues Using Clinical-Grade Soluble Human ACE2. *Cell* **181**, 905–913.e7 (2020). [doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.004](#) [Medline](#)
22. D. Pinto, Y.-J. Park, M. Beltramello, A. C. Walls, M. A. Tortorici, S. Bianchi, S. Jaconi, K. Culap, F. Zatta, A. De Marco, A. Peter, B. Guarino, R. Spreafico, E. Camerini, J. B. Case, R. E. Chen, C. Havenar-Daughton, G. Snell, A. Telenti, H. W. Virgin, A. Lanzavecchia, M. S. Diamond, K. Fink, D. Veasler, D. Corti, Cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV antibody. *Nature* **583**, 290–295 (2020). [doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2349-y](#) [Medline](#)
23. J. Hansen, A. Baum, K. E. Pascal, V. Russo, S. Giordano, E. Wloga, B. O. Fulton, Y. Yan, K. Koon, K. Patel, K. M. Chung, A. Hermann, E. Ullman, J. Cruz, A. Rafique, T. Huang, J. Fairhurst, C. Liberty, M. Malbec, W. Y. Lee, R. Welsh, G. Farr, S. Pennington, D. Deshpande, J. Cheng, A. Watty, P. Bouffard, R. Babb, N. Levenkova, C. Chen, B. Zhang, A. Romero Hernandez, K. Saotome, Y. Zhou, M. Franklin, S. Sivapalasingam, D. C. Lye, S. Weston, J. Logue, R. Haupt, M. Frieman, G. Chen, W. Olson, A. J. Murphy, N. Stahl, G. D. Yancopoulos, C. A. Kyratsos, Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. *Science* **10.1126/science.abd0827** (2020). [doi:10.1126/science.abd0827](#) [Medline](#)
24. P. J. M. Brouwer, T. G. Caniels, K. van der Straten, J. L. Snitselaar, Y. Aldon, S. Bangaru, J. L. Torres, N. M. A. Okba, M. Claireaux, G. Kerster, A. E. H. Bentlage, M. M. van Haaren, D. Guerra, J. A. Burger, E. E. Schermer, K. D. Verheul, N. van der Velde, A. van der Kooi, J. van Schooten, M. J. van Breemen, T. P. L. Bijl, K. Sliepen, A. Aartse, R. Derking, I. Bontjer, N. A. Kootstra, W. J. Wiersinga, G. Vidarsson, B. L. Haagmans, A. B. Ward, G. J. de Bree, R. W. Sanders, M. J. van Gils, Potent neutralizing antibodies from COVID-19 patients define multiple targets of vulnerability. *Science* **10.1126/science.abc5902** (2020). [doi:10.1126/science.abc5902](#) [Medline](#)
25. A. Z. Wec, D. Wrapp, A. S. Herbert, D. P. Maurer, D. Haslwanter, M. Sakharkar, R. K. Jangra, M. E. Dieterle, A. Lilov, D. Huang, L. V. Tse, N. V. Johnson, C.-L. Hsieh, N. Wang, J. H. Nett, E. Champney, I. Burnina, M. Brown, T. Lin, M. Sinclair, C. Johnson, S. Pudi, R. Bortz 3rd, A. S. Wirchnianski, E. Laudermitch, C. Florez, J. M. Fels, C. M. O'Brien, B. S. Graham, D. Nemazee, D. R. Burton, R. S. Baric, J. E. Voss, K. Chandran, J. M. Dye, J. S. McLellan, L. M. Walker, Broad neutralization of SARS-related viruses by human monoclonal antibodies. *Science* **10.1126/science.abc7424** (2020). [doi:10.1126/science.abc7424](#) [Medline](#)
26. C. Wang, W. Li, D. Drabek, N. M. A. Okba, R. van Haperen, A. D. M. E. Osterhaus, F. J. M. van Kuppeveld, B. L. Haagmans, F. Grosveld, B.-J. Bosch, A human monoclonal antibody blocking SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2251 (2020). [doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16256-y](#) [Medline](#)
27. Y. Wu, F. Wang, C. Shen, W. Peng, D. Li, C. Zhao, Z. Li, S. Li, Y. Bi, Y. Yang, Y. Gong, H. Xiao, Z. Fan, S. Tan, G. Wu, W. Tan, X. Lu, C. Fan, Q. Wang, Y. Liu, C. Zhang, J. Qi, G. F. Gao, F. Gao, L. Liu, A noncompeting pair of human neutralizing antibodies block COVID-19 virus binding to its receptor ACE2. *Science* **368**, 1274–1278 (2020). [doi:10.1126/science.abc2241](#) [Medline](#)
28. T. F. Rogers, F. Zhao, D. Huang, N. Beutler, A. Burns, W. T. He, O. Limbo, C. Smith, G. Song, J. Woehl, L. Yang, R. K. Abbott, S. Callaghan, E. Garcia, J. Hurtado, M. Parren, L. Peng, S. Ramirez, J. Ricketts, M. J. Ricciardi, S. A. Rawlings, N. C. Wu, M. Yuan, D. M. Smith, D. Nemazee, J. R. Teijaro, J. E. Voss, I. A. Wilson, R. Andrabi, B. Briney, E. Landais, D. Sok, J. G. Jardine, D. R. Burton, Isolation of potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and protection from disease in a small animal model. *Science* **10.1126/science.abc7520** (2020). [doi:10.1126/science.abc7520](#) [Medline](#)
29. A. Baum, B. O. Fulton, E. Wloga, R. Copin, K. E. Pascal, V. Russo, S. Giordano, K. Lanza, N. Negron, M. Ni, Y. Wei, G. S. Atwal, A. J. Murphy, N. Stahl, G. D. Yancopoulos, C. A. Kyratsos, Antibody cocktail to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein prevents rapid mutational escape seen with individual antibodies. *Science* **10.1126/science.eabd0831** (2020). [doi:10.1126/science.eabd0831](#) [Medline](#)
30. Y. Imai, K. Kuba, S. Rao, Y. Huan, F. Guo, B. Guan, P. Yang, R. Sarao, T. Wada, H. Leong-Poi, M. A. Crackower, A. Fukamizu, C.-C. Hui, L. Hein, S. Uhlig, A. S. Slutsky, C. Jiang, J. M. Penninger, Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 protects from severe acute lung failure. *Nature* **436**, 112–116 (2005). [doi:10.1038/nature03712](#) [Medline](#)
31. B. Trembl, N. Neu, A. Kleinsasser, C. Gritsch, T. Finsterwalder, R. Geiger, M. Schuster, E. Janzek, H. Loibner, J. Penninger, A. Loeckinger, Recombinant angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 improves pulmonary blood flow and oxygenation in lipopolysaccharide-induced lung injury in piglets. *Crit. Care Med.* **38**, 596–601 (2010). [doi:10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181c03009](#) [Medline](#)
32. M. Haschke, M. Schuster, M. Poglitsch, H. Loibner, M. Salzberg, M. Bruggisser, J. Penninger, S. Krähenbühl, Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of recombinant human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in healthy human subjects. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* **52**, 783–792 (2013). [doi:10.1007/s40262-013-0072-7](#) [Medline](#)
33. A. Khan, C. Benthin, B. Zeno, T. E. Albertson, J. Boyd, J. D. Christie, R. Hall, G. Poirier, J. J. Ronco, M. Tidswell, K. Hades, W. M. Powley, T. J. Wright, S. K. Siederer, D. A. Fairman, D. A. Lipson, A. I. Bayliffe, A. L. Lazaar, A pilot clinical trial of recombinant human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit. Care* **21**, 234 (2017). [doi:10.1186/s13054-017-1823-x](#) [Medline](#)
34. G. Zhang *et al.*, Investigation of ACE2 N-terminal fragments binding to SARS-CoV-

- 2 Spike RBD. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.19.999318 [Preprint]. 17 June 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.19.999318>.
35. M. J. Moore, T. Dorfman, W. Li, S. K. Wong, Y. Li, J. H. Kuhn, J. Coderre, N. Vasilieva, Z. Han, T. C. Greenough, M. Farzan, H. Choe, Retroviruses pseudotyped with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein efficiently infect cells expressing angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. *J. Virol.* **78**, 10628–10635 (2004). [doi:10.1128/JVI.78.19.10628-10635.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.19.10628-10635.2004) [Medline](#)
 36. P. Towler, B. Staker, S. G. Prasad, S. Menon, J. Tang, T. Parsons, D. Ryan, M. Fisher, D. Williams, N. A. Dales, M. A. Patane, M. W. Pantoliano, ACE2 X-ray structures reveal a large hinge-bending motion important for inhibitor binding and catalysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 17996–18007 (2004). [doi:10.1074/jbc.M31191200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M31191200) [Medline](#)
 37. J. D. Heredia, J. Park, R. J. Brubaker, S. K. Szymanski, K. S. Gill, E. Procko, Mapping Interaction Sites on Human Chemokine Receptors by Deep Mutational Scanning. *J. Immunol.* **200**, 3825–3839 (2018). [doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1800343](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1800343) [Medline](#)
 38. J. Park, B. Selvam, K. Sanematsu, N. Shigemura, D. Shukla, E. Procko, Structural architecture of a dimeric class C GPCR based on co-trafficking of sweet taste receptor subunits. *J. Biol. Chem.* **294**, 4759–4774 (2019). [doi:10.1074/jbc.RA118.006173](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.006173) [Medline](#)
 39. J.-D. Pédelacq, S. Cabantous, T. Tran, T. C. Terwilliger, G. S. Waldo, Engineering and characterization of a superfolder green fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **24**, 79–88 (2006). [doi:10.1038/nbt1172](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1172) [Medline](#)
 40. D. M. Fowler, S. Fields, Deep mutational scanning: A new style of protein science. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 801–807 (2014). [doi:10.1038/nmeth.3027](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3027) [Medline](#)
 41. E. W. Stawiski *et al.*, Human ACE2 receptor polymorphisms predict SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility. *bioRxiv*, 2020.04.07.024752 [Preprint]. 10 April 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.07.024752>.
 42. W. T. Gibson, D. M. Evans, J. An, S. J. Jones, ACE 2 Coding Variants: A Potential X-linked Risk Factor for COVID-19 Disease. *bioRxiv* 2020.04.05.026633 [Preprint]. 14 April 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.05.026633>.
 43. Y. Li *et al.*, Potential host range of multiple SARS-like coronaviruses and an improved ACE2-Fc variant that is potent against both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1. *bioRxiv*, 2020.04.10.032342 [Preprint]. 11 April 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.032342>.
 44. J. D. Heredia, J. Park, H. Choi, K. S. Gill, E. Procko, Conformational Engineering of HIV-1 Env Based on Mutational Tolerance in the CD4 and PG16 Bound States. *J. Virol.* **93**, e00219–e19 (2019). [doi:10.1128/JVI.00219-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00219-19) [Medline](#)
 45. L. Premkumar, B. Segovia-Chumbez, R. Jodi, D. R. Martinez, R. Raut, A. Markmann, C. Cornaby, L. Bartelt, S. Weiss, Y. Park, C. E. Edwards, E. Weimer, E. M. Scherer, N. Rouphael, S. Edupuganti, D. Weiskopf, L. V. Tse, Y. J. Hou, D. Margolis, A. Sette, M. H. Collins, J. Schmitz, R. S. Baric, A. M. de Silva, The receptor binding domain of the viral spike protein is an immunodominant and highly specific target of antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 patients. *Sci. Immunol.* **5**, eabc8413 (2020). [doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abc8413](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abc8413) [Medline](#)
 46. J. Shang, G. Ye, K. Shi, Y. Wan, C. Luo, H. Aihara, Q. Geng, A. Auerbach, F. Li, Structural basis of receptor recognition by SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* **581**, 221–224 (2020). [doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2179-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2179-y) [Medline](#)
 47. E. Procko, R. Hedman, K. Hamilton, J. Seetharaman, S. J. Fleishman, M. Su, J. Aramini, G. Kornhaber, J. F. Hunt, L. Tong, G. T. Montelione, D. Baker, Computational design of a protein-based enzyme inhibitor. *J. Mol. Biol.* **425**, 3563–3575 (2013). [doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2013.06.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2013.06.035) [Medline](#)
 48. D. M. Fowler, C. L. Araya, W. Gerard, S. Fields, Enrich: Software for analysis of protein function by enrichment and depletion of variants. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 3430–3431 (2011). [doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr577](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr577) [Medline](#)
 49. F. Amanat, D. Stadlbauer, S. Strohmeier, T. H. O. Nguyen, V. Chromikova, M. McMahon, K. Jiang, G. A. Arunkumar, D. Jurczyszak, J. Polanco, M. Bermudez-Gonzalez, G. Kleiner, T. Aydiello, L. Miorin, D. S. Fierer, L. A. Lugo, E. M. Kojic, J. Stoeve, S. T. H. Liu, C. Cunningham-Rundles, P. L. Felgner, T. Moran, A. Garcia-Sastre, D. Caplivski, A. C. Cheng, K. Kedzierska, O. Vapalahti, J. M. Hepojoki, V. Simon, F. Krammer, A serological assay to detect SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in humans. *Nat. Med.* **26**, 1033–1036 (2020). [doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0913-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0913-5) [Medline](#)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Staff at the UIUC Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center assisted with FACS and Illumina sequencing. Hannah Choi and Krishna Narayanan assisted with plasmid

preparation. Opinions, conclusions, interpretations and recommendations are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army. The mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense. **Funding:** The development of deep mutagenesis to study virus-receptor interactions was supported by NIH award R01AI129719 to EP. Funding for USAMRIID was provided through the CARES Act with programmatic oversight from the Military Infectious Diseases Research Program – project 14066041. **Author contributions:** KKC purified and characterized proteins. DD, SAA, JMD and ASH tested virus neutralization. PS and DMK performed ELISA. EP did deep mutagenesis, protein engineering, purification, characterization and drafted the manuscript. **Competing interests:** EP is the inventor on a provisional patent filing by the University of Illinois. EP and KC are founders of Orthogonal Biologics, Inc. DMK is a consultant for AbbVie. **Data and materials availability:** Plasmids are deposited with Addgene and deep sequencing data are deposited in GEO (Accession No. GSE147194). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. This license does not apply to figures/photos/artwork or other content included in the article that is credited to a third party; obtain authorization from the rights holder before using such material.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

science.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.abc0870/DC1
Materials and Methods
Figs. S1 to S12
Tables S1 and S2
References (47–49)
MDAR Reproducibility Checklist
Data File S1

5 April 2020; resubmitted 5 May 2020
Accepted 28 July 2020
Published online 4 August 2020
10.1126/science.abc0870

Fig. 1. Sequence preferences of ACE2 residues for high binding to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 S. (A) Log₂ enrichment ratios from the nCoV-S-High sorts are plotted from depleted/deleterious (orange) to enriched (dark blue). ACE2 primary structure is on the vertical axis, amino acid substitutions are on the horizontal axis. Wild type amino acids are black. *, stop codon. (B) Conservation scores are mapped to the structure (PDB 6M17) of RBD (green ribbon) bound protease domain (surface), oriented with the substrate-binding cavity facing the reader. Residues conserved for RBD binding are orange; mutationally tolerant residues are pale colors; residues that are hot spots for enriched mutations are blue; and residues maintained as wild type in the ACE2 library are grey. Glycans are dark red sticks. (C) Viewed looking down on to the RBD interaction surface. (D) Average hydrophobicity-weighted enrichment ratios are mapped to the structure, with residues tolerant of polar substitutions in blue, while residues that prefer hydrophobics are yellow. (E) A magnified view of the ACE2/RBD interface (colored as in B and C). Heatmap plots log₂ enrichment ratios from the nCoV-S-High sort.

Fig. 2. A variant of sACE2 with high affinity for S. (A) Expi293F cells expressing S were incubated with purified wild type sACE2 (grey) or sACE2.v2 (blue) fused to 8his (solid lines) or IgG1-Fc (broken lines). Bound protein was detected by flow cytometry. Data are mean fluorescence units (MFU) of the total cell population after subtraction of background autofluorescence. $n = 2$, error bars represent range. (B) Binding of 100 nM wild type sACE2-IgG1 (broken lines) was competed with wild type sACE2-8h (solid grey line) or sACE2.v2-8h (solid blue line). The competing proteins were added simultaneously to cells expressing S and relative bound protein was detected by flow cytometry. $n = 2$, error bars represent range. (C) Competition for binding to immobilized RBD in an ELISA between serum IgG from COVID-19 patients versus wild type sACE2-8h (grey) or sACE2.v2-8h (blue). Three different patient sera were tested (P1 to P3 in light to dark shades). Data are mean $\pm$ SEM, $n = 2$.

Fig. 3. A dimeric sACE2 variant with improved properties for binding viral spike. (A) Analytical SEC of wild type sACE2₂-8h (grey) and sACE2₂.v2.4-8h (purple) after incubation at 37°C for 62 hours. (B) ELISA analysis of serum IgG from COVID-19 patients (P1 to P3 in light to dark shades) binding to RBD. Dimeric sACE2₂(WT)-8h (grey) or sACE2₂.v2.4-8h (purple) are added to compete with antibodies recognizing the receptor binding site. Concentrations are based on monomeric subunits. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 2. (C) RBD-8h association (t = 0 to 120 s) and dissociation (t > 120 s) with immobilized sACE2₂(WT)-IgG1 measured by BLI. (D) BLI kinetics of RBD-8h binding to immobilized sACE2₂.v2.4-IgG1.

Fig. 4. Enhanced neutralization of SARS-CoV-1 and -2 by engineered receptors. In a microneutralization assay, monomeric (solid lines) or dimeric (broken lines) sACE2(WT)-8h (grey) or sACE2.v2.4-8h (purple) were pre-incubated with virus before adding to VeroE6 cells. Concentrations are based on monomeric subunits. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM of $n = 4$.